

to Amildars, as provided for in the Revenue Rules. As this causes much hardship to superior holders, the Amildars may be empowered to render the necessary assistance in deserving cases.

*Reply of Government.*—Section 97 applies to holders of Government lands also and the facilities provided by it for the recovery of rent due to them are sufficient. If any doubt exists as to their applicability, a test case may be taken before the revenue courts.

SUBJECT NO. 82.

Revival of joint *patta* system.

Mr. Gundappa, Nagamangala Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The present practice of removing from the joint *patta* the name of a *hissedar* when he dies is detrimental to the interests of the survivors of the deceased *hissedar*. The joint *patta* system may be revived.

*Reply of Government.*—Noted.

SUBJECT NO. 83.

Remission of assessment on *bagayet* lands.

Messrs. Seshadri Iyengar, Tumkur Municipality; and H. Gundappa, Kunigal Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The *bagayet* lands should be granted remissions of assessment in a season of drought just like wet lands. Gardens have greatly deteriorated by the continued failure of rains in recent years. The injury caused cannot be repaired for another ten years even with successively favourable seasons. Some *bagayet* lands are dependent on tanks. Others are dependent on tanks and wells. When the tanks do not receive any water, the gardens are as much affected as the wet lands dependent on them. The produce is so little in some cases that it is hardly sufficient to pay the *kandayam*.

*Reply of Government.*—A partial suspension of assessment was allowed in such cases last year. It is not possible to show any further concessions.

SUBJECT NO. 84.

Temporary remissions of assessment on wet lands converted into *bagayet*.

Mr. H. Gundappa, Kunigal Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—When wet lands are utilised for garden cultivation, they should be included in the remission *takhtas* in the years in which *tari* remissions are granted until the plants come into bearing.

*Reply of Government.*—Noted. (See reply to Subject No. 83.)

SUBJECT NO. 85.

Remission of assessment for the year *Kilaka*.

Messrs. Chikramanna, Byregowda, and Dodda Vembraliah, Kolar Taluk; and Rama Rao, Malur Taluk

*Summary of discussion.*—(1) The full remission of *nirsardi* was being granted hitherto, in years of drought and distress, when the tanks had an insufficient supply of water. The tanks were perfectly dry during last year, but a remission of only half the *nirsardi* was granted. A full remission may be granted in view of the exceptionally bad year the ryots had. If only a small portion of the land was cultivated assessment has been levied on the whole land.

(2) Remission on wet lands was being granted when only dry crops were raised thereon during seasons

of scarcity. Last year, which was a very bad one, the full dry assessment was imposed thereupon, while granting half wet remission, which in some instances raised the total assessment to even more than the full wet rates. Requests generous treatment as hitherto, and full remission of moiety of wet assessment.

(3) Remission of local cess may be granted as heretofore.

(4) Remission may also be granted in the case of wet lands on which mulberry and other crops were grown, as there was no yield for want of water in the tanks.

(5) *Jodi* and local and irrigation cesses on the *inam* lands of *totis*, *talaris* and *nirgantis* may also be remitted.

*Reply of Government.*—The benefit of the remissions allowed will be given to all *nirganti*, *toti* and *talavars'* *inams* also. The concessions granted were decided upon after careful consideration and have been found to be sufficiently liberal in all cases.

#### SUBJECT No. 86.

Abolition of tax on *kanas*.

Messrs. Chikramanna, Byregowda,  
and Dodda Vembraliah, Kolar  
Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Early orders may be passed on the subject of entirely abolishing the tax on *kanas*.

*Reply of Government.*—The question of showing some concessions in the case of lands used as *kanas* is under consideration and will be disposed of early.

#### SUBJECT No. 87.

Taking *jodi* villages under  
Government management.

Messrs. B. Kesavadasa Iyengar,  
Belur Taluk; and Amble Anniah  
Pandit, Mysore District Board.

*Summary of discussion.*—Rules may be framed to take under Government management *jodi* villages on the application of the *jodidars*, the surplus receipts excluding local cess, *jodi*, etc., being paid to them. The *jodidars* are unable to collect the rents regularly and are thus driven into debt. The generous object with which *inam* villages were granted is thus defeated.

*Reply of Government.*—Will be considered.

#### SUBJECT No. 88.

Local cess in *jodi* villages.

Messrs. Kolar Ramappa, and  
Rumale Rudrappa, Doddballa-  
pur Taluk; and D. Papanna,  
Doddballapur Municipality.

*Summary of discussion.*—In the case of *jodi* villages, local cess is being levied on the whole *beriz*, whether all the lands of the village are cultivated or not. The levy may be enforced only on the *jodi* amount collected.

*Reply of Government.*—The local cess is levied on the survey valuation of the *inam* villages for which purpose only 25 per cent of the assessment on the cultivable waste lands in the *inam* villages is taken into account in addition to the assessment on the lands actually under cultivation at the time of the classification. The survey valuation is not revised from time to time and it is not necessary to show any further concessions.